COURT FEE 5 RS INDIA पांच रुपये FIVE RUPEES CANCELLED

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

M. C. C. NO. 424 /2006 **Single Bench**

APPLICANT :

1. Raja Ram Corn Products (Pb) Ltd., Kothi No. 17, Sector 4 Chandigarh

2. Shri Subodh Kumar Gupta
Son of Late Shri Rajaram Gupta
Aged about 59 years
Managing Director
M/s Rajaram Corn Products (Pb) Ltd., Kothi No. 17, Sector 4, Chandigarh

P.R. No. 931/06
Presented by Shri Ramnik Rai
dated 19/9/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENT :

Suryakant Gupta
Son of late Shri Raja Ram Gupta
Aged about 50 years
Proprietor, M/s Raja Ram Maize Products, Head Office at Komal Niwas Kailash Nagar, Rajnandgaon

Corrected vide order dated 29-08-06
Shatrughan 29-09-06 order 9 Rule 9 CPC

Attested
A.R.(J)

APPLICATION UNDER ~~SECTION 151~~ OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR RESTORATION OF C.R. No. 1801/2000 DISMISSED FOR NON-PROSECUTION VIDE ORDER DATED 07.08.2006 PASSED BY HON'BLE JUSTICE V. K. SHRIVASTAVA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C.No 424/06 **सन्** **200**

..... विरुद्ध .....

(1C)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29/08/2006 Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Counsel for the applicant. Shri P.K.C. Tiwari, Sr. Advocate with Shri Sashi Bhushan, Counsel for the respondent. Heard on I.A.Nos. 2728/2006 and 2729/2006. Not opposed, allowed. Applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment during the course of the day. Learned counsel for both the parties submit that this case may be heard finally and decided. Considered. Both the parties are heard finally. Civil Revision No.1801/2000 was listed for hearing on 07/08/1006. Petitioner did not appear on that day, therefore, revision was dismissed. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed his own affidavit wherein he has stated that listing of the Civil Revision on 07/08/2006 escaped his notice, therefore, he could not appear and attend the case. Taking into account the error committed by the counsel and frankly conceded by him, in my opinion, this is sufficient cause for restoring the Civil Revision No. 1801/2000 which was dismissed on 07/08/2006. Therefore, the order passed on 07/08/2006 is set	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक MCC 424/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
06.	aside and Civil Revision No.1801/2000 is restored to its original number with a condition that though civil revision is restored, yet interim orders passed during pendency of the civil revision for stay or injunction shall not stand automatically restored. A copy of this order be kept in Civil Revision No.1801/2000. List the Civil Revision No.1801/2000 for hearing within a week, as prayed. **Sd/-** **V.K.Shrivastava** **Judge**	

raju

pov

(पीछे देखिये)

6.10.06